

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/344,323	06/24/1999	RICHARD G. HARTMANN	EN998070	8931
75	90 07/09/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION - DEPT. 917			NGUYEN, HAI V	
3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTER,	WIN 33901-7829		2142	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

V

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/344,323	HARTMANN ET AL.			
navicery near	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hai V. Nguyen	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	· ·	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	••				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	finally rejected clair	ms.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
	Explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
BEST AVAILABLE COPY					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but ther are not deemed to be persuasive.

JACK B. HARVEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

fls)